



CERTIFICATION

AOAC[®] Performance TestedSM

Certificate No.

121701

The AOAC Research Institute hereby certifies the test kit known as:

TotalTox[™] DON

manufactured by

EnviroLogix

500 Riverside Industrial Parkway

Portland, ME 04103

USA

This method has been evaluated in the AOAC[®] *Performance Tested Methods*SM Program and found to perform as stated by the manufacturer contingent to the comments contained in the manuscript. This certificate means that an AOAC[®] Certification Mark License Agreement has been executed which authorizes the manufacturer to display the AOAC *Performance Tested*SM certification mark along with the statement - "THIS METHOD'S PERFORMANCE WAS REVIEWED BY AOAC RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND WAS FOUND TO PERFORM TO THE MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS" - on the above-mentioned method for a period of one calendar year from the date of this certificate (March 26, 2021 – December 31, 2021). Renewal may be granted at the end of one year under the rules stated in the licensing agreement.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott Coates".

Scott Coates, Senior Director
Signature for AOAC Research Institute

March 26, 2021

Date

METHOD AUTHORS

ORIGINAL VALIDATION: Sergiusz Polakowski, Cheryl Bailey, Russell Roberts, Keith Tanguay, Brendan Gow, Anna Rice, Sara Colby, Terry Goddard

AUGUST 2018 MODIFICATION: Anna Rice, Keith Tanguay, Sara Colby
 DECEMBER 13, 2019 MODIFICATION: Cheryl Bailey, Brendan Gow, Sergiusz Polakowski, Carolyn Malloy

SUBMITTING COMPANY

EnviroLogix, Inc.
 500 Riverside Industrial Parkway
 Portland, ME 04103

KIT NAME(S)

TotalTox™ DON
 formerly QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex

CATALOG NUMBERS

AQ 304 BG

INDEPENDENT LABORATORY

Trilogy
 870 Vossbrink Dr.
 Washington, MO 63090
 USA

AOAC EXPERTS AND PEER REVIEWERS

Gordon Shephard¹, Gary Lombaert², Wayne Ziemer³
¹ Institute of Biomedical and Microbial Biotechnology, SOUTH AFRICA
² Health Canada (Retired), CANADA
³ Consultant, Loganville, GA, USA
 MODIFICATION December 2019 reviewed internally by AOAC Research Institute

APPLICABILITY OF METHOD

Target organisms – deoxynivalenol (DON)

Matrixes – (20 g) - corn and wheat

Performance claims - Detection of DON ranging from 0.1 – 30 ppm.

ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION DATE

December 19, 2017

CERTIFICATION RENEWAL RECORD

Renewed annually through December 2021

METHOD MODIFICATION RECORD

1. August 2018 Level 2
2. December 2018 Level 1
3. December 2019 Level 2
4. December 2020 Level 1
5. March 2021 Level 1

SUMMARY OF MODIFICATIONS

1. Certification of QuickScan System II.
2. Editorial changes to include reformatting inserts, correcting errors, and rebranding labels.
3. Evaluation of new component supplier.
4. Editorial changes.
5. Rebranding to TotalTox™ DON.

Under this AOAC® *Performance Tested*SM License Number, 121701 this method is distributed by:
 NONE

Under this AOAC® *Performance Tested*SM License Number, 121701 this method is distributed as:
 NONE

PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD (1)

The TotalTox™ DON, formerly QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex test method, utilizes competitive, lateral flow immunoassay to detect DON contamination in various matrixes. The mycotoxin is extracted from ground wheat or corn samples with water and clarified through filtration or centrifugation. The test sample is created by 1:1 dilution with DB6 assay diluent and equilibration to 22°C in the incubator unit and then tested with the assay strip. Development of the assay strip occurs as the test sample moves vertically through the strip by capillary action revealing test and control lines that are identified and quantified with the reader and associated system software. The reader system uses matrix-specific calibration curves, input by scanning the multi-matrix barcode card, in order to determine the quantitation level of the sample.

DISCUSSION OF THE VALIDATION STUDY (1)

The TotalTox™ DON test method was developed to provide a rapid, easy to use, consistent, and highly accurate test for quantitation of deoxynivalenol levels in grain commodities. The test method is a competitive lateral flow format with a test strip reader and associated software. This method was validated in these studies for use with two types of readers (500+, 550+), each with the QuickScan software for quantitative results reporting. Performance assessed by linearity and matrix studies in the sponsor’s lab and the independent lab demonstrated a highly linear dose response of the method to levels of deoxynivalenol from 0 – 30 ppm, using both the 500+ and 550+ scanners. The correlation coefficients (R² value) of linear regression analysis reached 1.00, indicating a perfect linear fit which demonstrates that both scanner types are capable of accurately quantitating DON levels in comparison to HPLC-determined values. In all studies, the data produced RSD_r values well below the acceptance criteria Max %RSD for each DON level, with the combined wheat matrix studies exhibiting no more than 17% RSD_r at the 0.5ppm dose. Therefore, the test method, in conjunction with either scanner type, displays suitable repeatability. To challenge the product claim of dilution accuracy down to 2 ppm (3), the 5 ppm sample was tested using the dilution protocol for the 8 – 30 ppm quantitation range as part of the matrix studies. Results from both scanners were within the acceptable ranges regardless of the test protocol used (Tables 5, 6, and 9), indicating the QuickScan software accurately reports results in the lower range of the dilution protocol. The selectivity study to determine the method’s relative reactivity to DON analogs showed a strong response to both DON and the 3-acetyl-form. The most recent evaluation of DON and its derivatives by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) issued a group tolerable daily intake for DON and its acetylated forms and in so doing, assessed their toxicities to be equal, as the acetylated forms are hydrolyzed to DON in mammals (4). A more recent study has shown that their toxicities vary according to the model being used (5). Nevertheless, regulations for DON remain set only for DON itself due to challenges in assessing the acetylated forms separately. In regard to the occurrence of 3-acetyl-DON, the same JECFA noted that the acetylated derivative, if it occurs at all, is generally present at levels less than 10% of DON. Thus, the cross reactivity noted in the test kit does not detract from its ability to appropriately identify DON contamination in wheat. As a whole, the studies herein which employed multiple users across multiple sites, multiple kit lots, and multiple instruments, indicate the assay procedure is robust, the matrix-specific calibration curves are accurate, and the manufacture of both assay and instruments is highly consistent. The QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex method provides end users with a test kit that is not only easy to use, but produces rapid, accurate quantitative results allowing grain handlers to make decisions at the point of need with high confidence.

Table 5. Matrix study results for wheat (1)

Replicate		DON concentration - Wheat												Scanner
		0		0.5 ppm		0.9 ppm		2.3 ppm		5.0 ppm*		28.6 ppm		
		500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	
1	0.00	0.01	0.53	0.46	0.79	1.0	2.0	2.1	5.8	6.1	29	26		
2	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.63	0.86	0.83	2.2	2.3	5.0	4.5	27	28		
3	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.53	0.77	0.94	2.2	2.2	5.4	4.7	27	29		
4	0.02	0.00	0.60	0.63	0.87	0.86	2.2	2.4	5.2	4.6	28	29		
5	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.61	0.80	0.83	1.8	1.9	5.1	5.3	30	27		
6	0.00	0.00							4.3*	4.5*				
7	0.00	0.00							4.8*	5.0*				
8	0.00	0.00							4.8*	3.7*				
9	0.00	0.00							5.0*	5.0*				
10	0.01	0.00							4.8*	4.2*				
Mean	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.57	0.82	0.89	2.1	2.2	5.3	5.0	28	28		
S _r	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.17	0.17	0.34	0.65	1.07	1.27		
RSD _r , %			6.39	13.11	5.43	8.44	8.07	7.67	6.32	12.81	3.79	4.54		
Recovery %			112%	114%	91%	99%	91%	94%	106%	101%	99%	98%		
Bias			0.06	0.07	-0.08	-0.01	-0.21	-0.14	0.32	0.04	-0.30	-0.61		
LOD	0.02	0.01												
LOQ	0.05	0.02												

*Results from dilution protocol, not included in mean analysis or additional analyses

Table 6. Matrix study results for corn (1)

DON concentration - Corn													Scanner
Replicate	0		0.5 ppm		0.9 ppm		1.9 ppm		5.3 ppm		30.4 ppm		
	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	500+	550+	
1	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.49	0.80	0.9	1.8	1.9	5.0	4.5	33	30	
2	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.41	0.94	0.93	1.9	1.8	5.1	4.6	31	34	
3	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.54	0.86	0.84	1.6	1.7	5.1	4.9	30	32	
4	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.38	0.93	0.94	2.0	2.1	5.1	5.0	35	35	
5	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.39	0.86	0.95	1.8	1.8	5.2	5.1	35	36	
6	0.00	0.00							4.6*	5.2*			
7	0.00	0.00							4.4*	4.2*			
8	0.00	0.00							5.0*	5.0*			
9	0.00	0.00							4.7*	5.0*			
10	0.00	0.00							4.8*	4.4*			
Mean	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.44	0.88	0.92	1.8	1.9	5.1	4.8	33	33	
S _r	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.27	2.24	2.35	
RSD _r %			4.39	15.79	6.56	4.80	8.28	7.34	1.64	5.57	6.83	7.12	
Recovery %			88%	88%	98%	102%	96%	97%	96%	91%	108%	109%	
Bias			-0.06	-0.06	-0.02	0.02	-0.08	-0.05	-0.22	-0.48	2.42	2.64	
LOD	0.00	0.00											
LOQ	0.00	0.00											

*Results from dilution protocol, not included in mean analysis or additional analyses

DISCUSSION OF THE MODIFICATION APPROVED AUGUST 2018 (7)

The Linearity study was performed using non-detect wheat and wheat naturally contaminated at 0, 0.5, 0.9, 2.3, 5.0, and 28.6 ppm levels of DON. Replicate test strips were run by a single operator and read on the 550+ scanner and two QSSII instruments. Results from each scanner type were graphed against the reference value. All test results were within the acceptable range of the reference samples (Table 1). Linear regression analysis produced R² values of 1.0 for both scanner types indicating equivalent alignment of scanners with the reference values.

Matrix studies were conducted according to the Validation Outline for the QuickTox Kit for QuickScan Don Flex and test strips read by one operator on the 550+ scanner and two QSSII instruments. Individual test strip results, as well as precision, recovery, bias, LOD and LOQ values for wheat are shown in Table 2. The mean values for each level were similar across all readers with the highest RSD_r of 9.4% being exhibited on one of the QSSII units evaluated. LOD and LOQ values were equivalent between the two QSSII units, whereas the 550+ reader resulted in slightly higher LOD and LOQ values but were well below the acceptance criteria (≤0.1ppm) established in the Validation Outline.

Results from the corn matrix study on the two reader types are shown in Table 3. All results were within acceptable ranges for each level indicated in Table 1. The LOD and LOQ values were determined to be 0.00 for all readers, equivalent to those values obtained in the original PTM validation corn matrix study using the 550+ scanner. The mean result for each level was comparable among the instruments tested and the RSD_r remained below 10% for all levels on all instruments.

Table 2. Matrix Study Results for Wheat Samples using QuickScan 550+ and QSSII Readers. (7)

DON concentration - Wheat																			
Replicate	0			0.5 ppm			0.9 ppm			2.3 ppm			5.0 ppm			28.6 ppm			Reader
	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.50	0.57	0.78	0.78	0.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	4.1	4.1	4.0	28	31	29	
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.57	0.57	0.78	0.79	0.81	1.7	1.7	1.7	4.6	4.8	4.5	28	27	26	
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.53	0.58	0.82	0.85	0.85	2.1	2.0	2.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	26	28	28	
4	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.53	0.52	0.73	0.76	0.73	1.9	2.0	1.9	4.3	4.6	4.2	26	28	29	
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.52	0.58	0.88	0.82	0.79	1.9	1.8	1.9	4.0	4.2	5.1	27	25	27	
6	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.2*	5.3*	5.0*				
7	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.1*	5.4*	5.5*				
8	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.2*	5.6*	5.2*				
9	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.2*	5.1*	5.2*				
10	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.2*	5.2*	5.4*				
Mean	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.53	0.56	0.80	0.80	0.79	1.9	1.9	1.9	4.2	4.4	4.4	27	28	28	
S _r	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.23	0.29	0.42	1.00	2.17	1.30	
RSD, %				2.52	4.81	4.45	7.00	4.42	5.46	9.00	7.21	9.00	5.43	6.63	9.37	3.70	7.80	4.69	
Recovery %				104%	106%	113%	89%	89%	88%	81%	81%	81%	85%	88%	89%	94%	97%	97%	
Bias				0.02	0.03	0.06	-0.10	-0.10	-0.11	-0.44	-0.44	-0.44	-0.76	-0.60	-0.56	-1.60	-0.80	-0.80	
LOD	0.01	0.00	0.00																
LOQ	0.04	0.00	0.00																

*Results from dilution protocol, not included in mean analysis or additional analyses

Table 3. Matrix Study Results for Corn Samples using QuickScan 550+ and QSSII Readers (7)

		DON concentration - Corn																	
Replicate	0			0.5 ppm			0.9 ppm			1.9 ppm			5.3 ppm			30.4 ppm			Reader
	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	550+	QSSII-1	QSSII-2	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.92	0.92	0.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	4.3	4.2	4.8	28	31	28	
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.47	0.42	0.83	0.83	0.88	1.6	1.6	1.6	4.5	5.0	4.4	26	26	27	
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.48	0.46	0.86	0.84	0.89	1.5	1.6	1.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	26	26	30	
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.40	0.47	0.81	0.89	0.83	1.7	1.6	1.7	4.4	4.7	4.5	26	25	24	
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.44	0.51	0.83	0.86	0.83	1.6	1.7	1.5	4.7	4.1	4.4	27	30	27	
6	0.00	0.00	0.00										4.9*	5.4*	5.1*				
7	0.00	0.00	0.00										4.9*	5.0*	5.1*				
8	0.00	0.00	0.00										4.9*	5.3*	5.0*				
9	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.3*	5.3*	5.6*				
10	0.00	0.00	0.00										5.0*	4.9*	5.0*				
Mean	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.85	0.87	0.86	1.6	1.7	1.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	27	28	27	
S _r	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.11	0.09	0.16	0.15	0.39	0.17	0.89	2.70	2.17	
RSD _r %				4.65	7.57	6.92	5.06	4.26	3.62	6.95	5.39	9.88	3.31	8.81	3.85	3.36	9.79	7.97	
Recovery %				89%	91%	93%	94%	96%	96%	86%	87%	84%	85%	84%	85%	88%	91%	89%	
Bias				-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	-0.05	-0.03	-0.04	-0.26	-0.24	-0.30	-0.82	-0.86	-0.80	-3.80	-2.80	-3.20	
LOD	0.00	0.00	0.00																
LOQ	0.00	0.00	0.00																

*Results from dilution protocol, not included in mean analysis or additional analyses

DISCUSSION OF MODIFICATION DECEMBER 13, 2019 (8)

This study was carried out to determine equivalence in performance of lots produced using a new source for the nitrocellulose membrane, for use with the DON Flex test method associated with PTM #121701. The lots produced using the new nitrocellulose source showed equivalent performance to a lot produced using the original nitrocellulose. In addition, all three lots using the nitrocellulose membrane from the new source showed acceptable performance in accelerated stability to support the assay's 1 year stability claim. Results presented in this method modification support the use of the nitrocellulose membrane sourced from Sartorius in the PTM #121701 test method for detection of deoxynivalenol in wheat and corn.

Kit Lot	Projected Age:	0 m	7.1 m	10.0 m	13.5 m
083-19	ND Wheat	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03
		0.04	0.01	0.00	0.03
		0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.04</i>	<i>0.01</i>	<i>0.00</i>	<i>0.03</i>
	0.5 ppm Corn	0.51	0.58	0.63	0.61
		0.58	0.49	0.61	0.59
		0.55	0.50	0.47	0.59
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.55</i>	<i>0.52</i>	<i>0.57</i>	<i>0.60</i>
	1.83 ppm Wheat	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8
		2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9
		2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>1.8</i>
	4.85 ppm Wheat	5.1	4.8	5.0	5.2
4.8		4.6	4.9	5.3	
5.2		4.7	5.0	4.6	
<i>Mean</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>5.0</i>	

Kit Lot	Projected Age:	0 m	7.1 m	10.0 m	13.5 m
084-19	ND Wheat	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.08
		0.00	0.02	0.00	0.06
		0.03	0.04	0.00	0.07
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.01</i>	<i>0.03</i>	<i>0.00</i>	<i>0.07</i>
	0.5 ppm Corn	0.59	0.47	0.55	0.62
		0.44	0.57	0.59	0.55
		0.45	0.54	0.57	0.49
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.49</i>	<i>0.53</i>	<i>0.57</i>	<i>0.55</i>
	1.83 ppm Wheat	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0
		1.9	2.1	1.8	1.8
		1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>1.9</i>
	4.85 ppm Wheat	4.8	5.4	5.2	4.8
5.1		4.7	5.2	5.0	
4.8		4.7	5.2	4.9	
<i>Mean</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>4.9</i>	

Kit Lot	Projected Age:	0 m	7.1 m	10.0 m	13.5 m
085-19	ND Wheat	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.06
		0.02	0.06	0.06	0.07
		0.04	0.06	0.06	0.09
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.02</i>	<i>0.06</i>	<i>0.04</i>	<i>0.07</i>
	0.5 ppm Corn	0.49	0.64	0.61	0.67
		0.55	0.63	0.64	0.69
		0.51	0.54	0.63	0.63
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>0.52</i>	<i>0.60</i>	<i>0.63</i>	<i>0.66</i>
	1.83 ppm Wheat	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.0
		1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2
		1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>2.1</i>
	4.85 ppm Wheat	4.9	5.4	5.3	4.7
		4.8	5.6	5.4	4.2
		4.8	5.6	5.2	5.0
<i>Mean</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>4.6</i>	

REFERENCES CITED

- Polakowski, S., Bailey, C., Roberts, R., Tanguay, K., Gow, B., Rice, A., Colby, S., and Goddard, T., Evaluation of the QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex, AOAC® *Performance TestedSM* certification number 121701.
- M. Nelson. (2017) Independent Laboratory Study for the QuickTox™ Kit for QuickSan DON Flex Version 1a. AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested MethodsSM* Program.
- M. Nelson (2017) Validation Outline for the QuickTox Kit for QuickScan DON Flex for PTM Certification Version 2e. AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested MethodsSM* Program.
- EnviroLogix (2017) QuickTox Kit for QuickScan DON Flex Product Insert. Catalog no. AQ 304 BG.
- A.S. Bulder, M. DiNovi, K.A. Kpodo, J.-C. Leblanc, S. Resnik, G.S. Shephard, W. Slob, R. Walker, and G. Wolterink. (2011) Deoxynivalenol. In: Safety Evaluation of Certain Contaminants in Food, WHO Food Additives Series 63, FAO JECFA Monographs 8, Prepared by the 72nd Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. pp. 317-485.
- P. Pinton, D. Tsybulskyy, J. Luciolli, J. Laffitte, P. Callu, F. Lyazhri, F. Grosjean, A.P. Bracarense, M. Kolf-Clauw and I.P. Oswald. (2012) Toxicity of Deoxynivalenol and Its Acetylated Derivatives on the Intestine: Differential Effects on Morphology, Barrier Function, Tight Junction Proteins, and Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases. *Toxicological Sciences*. 130(1): 180–190.
- Rice, A., Tanguay, K., and Colby, S., Evaluation QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex, AOAC® *Performance TestedSM* certification number 121701. Approved August 2018.
- Bailey, C., Gow, B., Polakowski, S., and Malloy, C., Evaluation of QuickTox™ Kit for QuickScan DON Flex Method Modification for AOAC® *Performance TestedSM* certification number 121701. Approved December 13, 2019.